

## Review by Giuseppe Longobardi

**Does the paper make a novel contribution to the understanding of the topic under investigation? [max 250 words]\***

Yes, it is an interesting summary of the constructions in question in several poorly studied varieties

**Is the empirical content of the paper sound (i.e. the data are collected and presented properly, the experiments are well designed, the statistics is well done, the examples contain no spelling mistakes, etc)? [max 400 words]\***

To my knowledge the data are sound: I discovered some type of data I did not know about, but I have no reason to suppose they are not correctly recorded

**Is the argument coherent and sound, with no major flaws and/or shortcomings, within the context of the theoretical assumptions made by the author? [max 500 words]\***

Yes, the argument is clear and pertinent and generally consistent with the theoretical background

**Are there any relevant scholarly works that have been overlooked by the author? If the answer is YES, please provide the full references.\***

No. I may only suggest Bernstein's and Longobardi's 2008 chapters in Klinge and Müller (eds.) Essays on Nominal Determination, Benjamins as useful reference on the relation between Person and reference in a TMT framework

**Have you seen this paper, its content, the proposed analysis, or the conclusions published in other venues? [If your answer is YES, please add the relevant reference.]\***

NO

**If you accept the paper with minor revisions, please list the revisions you would advise (you are not required to proofread the paper) [max 500 words]**

The only relevant point is on page 12: it should be more clearly noticed that most of the literature relevant and cited does not imply a biconditional relation between argumenthood and existence of a D position, but just a single-directional implication: if argument then D.

Whether the object-reference (or even kind-reference) relation does imply such a biconditional, and whether object reference is really involved in vocatives is a different important question, to which this article already contribute